

General Application Requirements (FINAL)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700605

Agency Information

(Carefully read the instructions before completing this form)

1. Agency Information

- a. Agency Name BLM - California State Office
- b. Organizational Unit
- c. Address 2800 Cottage Way
- e. City Sacramento State CA Zip 95825-1886
- f. Federal Id Number 91-9784654 DUNS Number
- g. Agency fiscal year (beginning month and January-01 day)
- h. Agency Type (Please check one)
- ☐ City ☐ County ☐ U.S. Forest Service
- ☐ U.S. Forest Service - Patrol District ☒ U.S. Bureau of Land Management ☐ Other Federal Agency
- ☐ Federally Recognized Native American Tribe ☐ Educational Institution ☐ Nonprofit Organization - 501(c)(3) status only
- ☐ State Agency ☐ District

2. Project Information

- a. Project Name General Application Requirements
- b. Is implementing agency same as Agency (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No
- c. Implementing Agency Name
- d. Amount of Funds Requested Project Cost

Project Request(s) Summary

#	Project Type	Project Title	Grant Request	Match	Total Project Cost
1	G09-01-07-G01	Ground Operations- Monitoring Sonoran Woodland Birds	60,000	40,000	100,000
2	G09-01-07-G02	Ground Operations-Monitoring Desert Tortoise	180,000	89,000	269,000
3	G09-01-07-R01	Restoration- Statewide Restoration Oversight	40,000	47,000	87,000
4	G09-01-07-S01	Education & Safety- Archeology Site Stewardship	30,000	194,000	224,000
5		TOTAL	310,000	370,000	680,000

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version # _____

APP # 700605

3. Contact

a. Project Administrator

Name	James Keeler				
Title	OHV Specialist				
Mailing Address	BLM California State Office				
City	Sacramento	State	CA	Zip	95825-1886
Telephone	(916) 978-4654			Fax	(916) 978-4657
E-mail Address	james_keeler@blm.gov				

b. Authorized Representative

Name	Jim Abbott				
Title	Assistant Director				
Mailing Address	BLM California State Office				
City	Sacramento	State	CA	Zip	95825-1886
Telephone	(916) 978-4600			Fax	(916) 978-4699
E-mail Address	jim_abbott@ca.blm.gov				

Location Map

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700605

A. Location Map

Attachments:

[BLM State Office Location Map](#)

Equipment Inventory

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700605

A. Equipment Inventory

Has your agency purchased any Equipment with OHV Trust Funds within the last five (5) ☒ Yes ☐ No
years? (Please select Yes or No)

#	Item Description	Make	Model	Model Year	Vehicle Identification Number (VIN) or Serial Number	Project Agreement Number
1	Geodatabase Server	Dell	Quad Core Xeon Processor	2007	X53552x4MB	OR-1-SW-43

Habitat Management Program (HMP)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700605

PART 1 - ITEM 1. DETERMINE THE NEED FOR FULL FULL HABITAT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (HMP)

All Applicants submitting Projects involving Ground Disturbing Activities are subject to HMP requirements. The HMP must cover the combined Project Area of all proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities.

Applicants able to certify that none of the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit only HMP Part 1. Applicants who cannot certify that the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation do not contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit HMP Parts 1 and 2.

1. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select ☒ Yes ☐ No Yes or No)
2. Can the Applicant certify that none of the proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats? (If you checked 'Yes', you are done with HMP) (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☒ No

PART 2 - RISK ANALYSIS, MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND REPORTING

PART 2 - Section I. Summary of HMP Changes

Has the Applicant previously submitted a HMP Part 2 that is currently in use in the proposed Project Area? (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No

Table 1 - Summary of HMP Changes

Changes from Previous Year	Section Where Change Occurs
1. Format adjustments to create and renumber eight tables with slightly different structure and content	all tables
2. All species of management concern to CDFG, BLM, and US FWS in the Meccacopia Special Recreation Management Area added because the area is the site of an NRCS soil survey.	Tables 2-6

PART 2 - Section II - Special Status Species

Table 2 - Table of All Special-Status Species and Any Other Species of Local Concern That Were Considered for Inclusion in the HMP

Species	Listing Status	Habitat	Potential for Occurrence	Addressed by HMP? If not explain why?
Couch's Spadefoot Scaphiopus couchii Scaphiropodidae	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps

BLM SS CA SSC arid shrubland, often with creosote; Sonoran Desert thorn woodland known to occur Yes. The spadefoots appear only after heavy spring and summer rains. They and their habitats may be vulnerable to damage by Couch's Spadefoot Scaphiopus couchii Couch's Spadefoot Scaphiopus couchii Scaphiopodidae BLM SS CA SSC arid shrubland, often with creosote; Sonoran Desert thorn woodland known to occur Yes. The spadefoots appear only after heavy spring and summer rains. They and their habitats may be vulnerable to damage by unauthorized OHV riding. NO MAP IS AVAILABLE..				
Common Chuckwalla Sauromalus obesus Iguanidae NECO rocky desert, lava flows, hillsides, and outcrops, co-	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps

occurring with creosote vegetation alliances; Sonoran Desert thorn woodland known to occur Yes. This species burrows in soil for cover and seeks shelter in rock crevices. Vehicle traffic may crush habitat, compact soil, and crush animals. NO MAP IS AVAILABLE.				
Desert Tortoise Gopherus agassizii Testudinidae FT CT desert flats and bajadas with sandy to sandy-gravelly soils; occasionally rocky soils and steep slopes - wherever the species can dig burrows known to occur Yes. This species burrows in soil for cover and depends on ample herbaceous vegetation found. Vehicle traffic may crush or fragment vegetation cover, compact soils, and crush animals.	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps
Coachella Valley Fringe-toed Lizard Uma inornata Phrynosomatidae FT CE	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps

Restricted to areas with fine, loose sand including both large and small dunes, with widely spaced desert shrubs for cover and for habitat of arthropod prey species known to occur No. In the Meccacopia region, this species only occurs on lands not managed by BLM.				
Golden Eagle Aquila chrysaetos Accipitridae US Protected CA Fully Protected nesting and resting on rock ledges; ranges widely in search of prey known to occur Yes. OHV riding might disturb nesting golden eagles if OHV trails are close to occupied nesting sites. NO MAP IS AVAILABLE.	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps
Prairie Falcon Falco mexicanus Falconidae NECO nesting and resting on rock ledges; ranges widely in search of prey in open terrain with canyons, cliffs, escarpments, and rock outcrops known to occur Yes. OHV riding might	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps

disturb nesting golden eagles if OHV trails are close to occupied nesting sites.				
Burrowing Owl Athene cunicularia Strigidae BLM SS CA SSC Sonoran Desert shrubland known to occur Yes. This species frequents road edges and is susceptible to collisions with motor vehicles.	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps
Loggerhead Shrike (mainland) Lanius novoboracensis Lanidae CA SSC desert scrub and low-density Sonoran Desert thorn woodland, Corn Springs known to occur Yes. This species is present at low densities on BLM lands and is a good indicator species for conditions in desert ecosystems away from the Lower Colorado River.	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps

PART 2 - Section III - Map(s) of Project Area

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 3

Table 3 - Data (Including Baseline Data) and Management Program for Species and/or Sensitive Habitats

Species/Habitat	Known Information	Methodology	Concerns / Risks / Uncertainties	Management Objective(s)	Management Action(s)	Success Criteria
Couch's Spadefoot Toad	Chart attached with maps	Chart attached with maps	Chart attached with maps	Chart attached with maps	Chart attached with maps	Chart attached with maps
Common Chuckwalla	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps
Desert Tortoise	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps
Coachilla Valley Fringe-Toed Lizard	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps
Golden Eagle	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps
Prarie Falcon	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps
Burrowing Owl	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps
Loggerhead Shrike	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 4

Table 4: Summary of HMP Monitoring Program

Species/Habitat	Change Detection Methodology	Effectiveness Monitoring Methodology, Including Triggers	Identify Any Applicable Validation Monitoring (Focused Studies)
Couch's Spadefoot Scaphiopus couchii Scaphiopodidae 1. Visiting high-priority ephemeral pond sites immediately after monsoonal rains to determine habitat occupancy and estimate relative populations	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps

based on trap effort. 2. Monitoring these sites for unauthorized OHV incursions during the OHV riding season. 1. When relative population estimates decline > 50% from the previous monsoon year, consult with herpetologists to implement habitat improvements. 2. When OHV riding damages ephemeral pond sites, restore sites and construct visual and physical barriers to prevent vehicle impacts. None at this time.			
Common Chuckwalla	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps
Desert Tortoise	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps
Xoachella Valley Fringe-toed Lizard	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with MapsG
Golden Eagle	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps
Prarie Falcon	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps
Burrowing Owl	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps
Loggerhead Shrike	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 5

Table 5. Management Review and Response; Adaptive Management

Monitoring Methodology	How Monitoring Information Will Inform Management	How Data Will Be Analyzed	Management Response to Identified Triggers	Who Will Plan Management Response
Aerial surveys for Bighorn Sheep	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps	Chart attached with Maps

<p>Provides a consistent snapshot annually and seasonally for the distribution, sex ratio, and ages of Bighorn Sheep in the Riverside County NECO Planning Area Experienced sheep biologists accompany airplane pilots to make population counts from the same area across years are made to detect a population trend; contrast the trends of populations in different parts of the Riverside County NECO Planning Area 1. Reduce hunting pressure.</p> <p>2. Establish a corps of "sheep ambassadors" who contact people on OHV trails that are seasonally closed during the Bighorn Sheep birthing and rearing seasons.</p> <p>1. California Department of Fish and Game. 2. BLM Palm Springs / South Coast Field Office Manager</p>				
--	--	--	--	--

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 6

Table 6: Previous Year's Monitoring Results

Monitoring Accomplishments	Results	Were Objectives and Success Criteria Achieved?
First Year of Project	First Year of Project	First Year of Project

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 7

Table 7: Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

Management Actions	Species/ Habitat	Date Completed or Planned - mm/dd/yyyy	Changes Needed to HMP
First Year of Project	First Year of Project	10/31/2010	First Year of Project

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 8

Table 8 Management Actions Taken in Response to HMP-related Public Concerns

Concern Raised by Public	Actions Taken to Address the Concern
1. Concern about the conservation of Mecca Woodyaster populations in the Meccacopia SRMA because of OHV use overlapping the occurrence of this BLM Sensitive Plant Species. Development of a Conservation Management Plan for this Species undertaken at the behest of the Rancho Santa Ana Botanical Garden..	See Discussion in documentation in Map Section

Soil Conservation

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700605

A. Soil Conservation

- a. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☒ No

B. Soil Conservation Plan

Public Review Process

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700605

A. Public Notification Efforts

Check all that apply: (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Notice to interested Parties/Groups (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [03/01/2010]
- ☒ Published on Applicant's Website (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [03/01/2010]
- ☐ Published in Newspaper
- ☒ News Release Issued
- ☐ Public Meeting(s) Hearing(s) Held

B. Public Comments

GENERAL

G1 California Wilderness Coalition supports BLM CASO proposals reflect the BLM ongoing commitment to maintain an OHV program that balances recreational opportunities with ecological safeguards-Baumgarten

G2 percentage of actual work on the ground changes from one agency to another. I would like to see no more than five percent of the grant request for staff under Ground Operation go to management.-Waldheim

G3 This request for \$310,000 absolutely nothing to do with on the ground OHV opportunity. Our program has been in place since 1972 not one agency has provided a report of how much they have received to date no historical track record mentioned in any of these grants -Waldheim

G4 CASO should embrace the field offices need for Route Designation in form of materials, maps, GPS system, Education and law enforcement to keep folks on "Designated Trails". CASO (should dedicate) resources and grants to assist field (to preserve Multiple Use concept)-Waldheim

G5 Please do not give any state money to a federal agency to close our public land to us. It appears as if they all want us to sit and a desk and enjoy the forest on a screensaver-Crandall

G6 Crit. Q 7 Lists three measures- only two are available-OHMVR

GROUND OPERATIONS SONORAN BIRD MONITORING

B7, DT14 California Wilderness Coalition Support the funding request for monitoring of Sonoran woodland birds and desert tortoise. Monitoring is needed to ensure that BLM management practices are maintaining healthy and viable wildlife populations and minimizing the impacts of OHV recreation on these species and their habitats-Baumgarten

B8 Monitoring Woodland Birds. \$60,000 is over the top-Waldheim

B9 There is no explanation of where this money is intended to go. How long is this study going to continue? Brazil

B10 I also believe that this should be considered a Restoration Project-Brazil

B11 Because there has been no end point for this study, I recommend not funding it-Brazil

B12 Equip. Other-boat-Needles Missing UOM-OHMVR

B13 Eval. Crit. # 3 Narrative does not support response-OHMVR

MONITORING CALIFORNIA DESERT TORTOISE

DT15 \$180,000 is over the top. Tortoise has been studied to death and now it is nothing but an excuse to keep staff funded Waldheim

DT16 BLM has already determined cause of the lowered population densities of tortoises: "Beginning in the late 1980s, however, tortoises began to die at unprecedented rates from a combination of imported diseases and predation by ravens, vandalism, uncontrolled dogs, and coyotes"-Brazil

DT17 It's time to stop studying and start working on a solution! I recommend not funding this request-Brazil

DT18 USGS Biological Scientist and technician listed as staff-OHMVR

DT19 Provide more detail about DT Monitoring Team Contract-OHMVR

DT20 Stakeholder meetings are to have occurred prior to submission of the preliminary grant application. OHMVR

STATEWIDE RESTORATION OVERSIGHT

R21 The activities outlined in the grant request are needed to ensure that restoration funds are used efficiently and strategically...applaud the initiative to advance planning efforts across agency boundaries, such as watershed-level planning for OHV-related restoration. Baumgarten

R22 \$40,000 State office is not helping what so ever, not even when field offices need help on NEPA work to do the restoration in the first place. Energy is gobbling up all of Field office staff, and NEPA work for restoration is going begging-Waldheim

R23 Restoration projects should be site specific. Knowledge of RESTORATION should not be specific to OHV areas. This position should be for all of the BLM lands and not funded by the OHV Grant program-Brazil

R24 Monitoring of restoration projects should be included in the original project and not an afterthought- Brazil

R25 I recommend not funding this project- Brazil

R26 Proj. area map needs to be attached-OHMVR

R27 #2- responses request number of sensitive habitats and species-OHMVR

R28 Eval. Crit. 4 Narrative should support response. Construction of barriers- OHMVR

ARCHEOLOGY SITE STEWARDSHIP

A28 I took the class this year, and was both impressed and appreciative of this program requests are minimal for the amount of instruction planned CASSP has allowed me to understand how this is possible and can benefit OHV opportunities as much as we can protect archaeological sites-Granat

A29 Beth has been doing a good job, we have funded this program for years, yet, when we need clearances for Archeological stuff for Restoration, we go begging we have done enough over the past 15 years- Waldheim

A30 Cost Est.- Staff-non BLM positions listed as staff OHMVR

A31 Cost Est.- Staff Need UOMs-OHMVR

A32 Contracts- UOM Missing USFS Workshops Advanced Workshop, SCA Conference Session, Volunteer Coordination-OHMVR

A33 Eval. Crit. 4- Applicant cannot list themselves as a partner-OHMVR

A34 Narr. A identify land managers and OHV groups who are stakeholders-OHMVR

C. Application Development as a result of Public Comments

a. Were changes made to the Application as a result of public comments? (Please select ☒ Yes ☐ No
Yes or No)

b. Describe how public comments affected the Application

In addition to the comments from OHMVR Staff, BLM received comments from several individuals, including Baumgarten, Waldheim, Brazil and Crandall. No substantive changes were made to the final grant from these comments. We appreciate the time each took to read the application, and respond with comments, both positive and negative.

As a result of several comments, we did feel that we need to reemphasize the distinction between scientific studies and monitoring. As a result, we clarified the text in the Desert Tortoise and the Sonoran Woodland Bird monitoring grants.

After consultation with OHMVR, we have revised the format of the Project Cost Estimate documentation to better portray the responsibilities and costs by all the partners. No project cost was changed in the revision.

Preparing the preliminary application, we mixed up several narratives in the project description. After consulting, we corrected the narratives for the final version

Certifications

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700605

1. Applicant Certifications

A. General Conditions

- A. The Applicant hereby certifies, under the penalty of perjury, compliance with the following terms and conditions: ☒
1. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees to monitor the condition of soils and wildlife in the Project Area each year in order to determine whether the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to Public Resource Code (PRC), Section 5090.35 and the HMP prepared pursuant to Section 5090.53(a) are being met.
 2. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion, to repair and prevent accelerated erosion, until the same soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is met.
 3. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion until the same HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is met.
 4. The Applicant agrees to enforce the registration of off-highway motor vehicles and the other provisions of Division 16.5 (commencing with Section 38000) of the Vehicle Code and to enforce the other applicable laws regarding the operation of off-highway motor vehicles.
 5. The Applicant agrees to cooperate with appropriate law enforcement entities to provide proper law enforcement at and around the Facility.
 6. The Applicant's Project is in accordance with local or federal plans and the strategic plan for OHV Recreation prepared by the OHMVR Division.

B. Programmatic Conditions

B. The Applicant must describe the following programmatic conditions:

1. Identify the potential for the facility to reduce illegal and unauthorized OHV Recreation activities in the surrounding areas:

BLM has a requirement, and a regulatory process to manage all aspects of motorized travel on BLM Public lands, and our regulations require the management program to minimize conflicts with other resources and users in adjacent lands and inholdings.

Reducing motorized trespass is an important and often difficult task. California BLM has a total of 75 Law Enforcement officers- whenever the table of organization is full- and a total of 16 million acres of public lands. We have been attempting to move OHV use in 10 million acres into a system of designated routes, and to strengthen the boundaries of the 550,000 acres of OHV Open lands.

The reason that BLM has placed such a concerted effort to manage OHV on public lands, is that a well managed system is important to protect both the BLM property, but to provide networks and areas that reduce the need for unauthorized use in the surrounding areas. We continue to work with our adjoining agencies to support and reinforce the efforts to improve both the opportuni

2. Describe how the Applicant is meeting the operations and maintenance needs of any existing OHV Recreation Facility under its jurisdiction:

Similar to question B1 above, BLM has been required, and has attempted to comply with the requirements to manage motorized recreation since the early 1980s. This is no small task considering that BLM lands include over 16 million acres of land in California- spread across a large portion of the state.

BLM has a history of relatively small budgets and huge missions to fulfill. We currently have a federally appropriated budget of just over \$8 million annually. Many of our roads and trails are user created, or have been created for a variety of uses throughout the years. We are trying to convert these through the route designation process into smaller, better maintained designated routes. We have been fortunate to receive over \$100 million in funding from the OHMVR program, and hope this partnership will continue.

Other facilities over the years have been developed to be as efficient as possible, and we continue to develop volunteer programs, friends groups and continue to look for additional funding s

C. Fee Collection

Describe how fees collected pursuant to Section 38230 of the Vehicle Code (in-lieu funds) are utilized and whether the fees complement the Applicant's proposed Project:

D. Compliance with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C)

Projects within the O&M category that affect lands identified as inventoried roadless areas by the U.S. Forest Service, are compliant with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C). (Please select Yes or No)

☒ Yes ☐ No

2. Governing Body Resolution

Certification - Non Profits / Education

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700605

- 1. Written Agreement with Land Manager**
- 2. Verification of Nonprofit 501(c)(3) Status**

Evaluation Criteria

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700605

1. OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary

1 OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary

- a. Does the land manager agency provide legal OHV riding opportunity? (Please select ☒ Yes ☐ No Yes or No)

Starting (Month/Year) 10/2008

Ending (Month/Year) 10/2009

- b. Off-Highway Vehicle Opportunity Ratio (OHV Ratio) opportunity
- i. Months of OHV Opportunity (OHV Months) 12
- ii. Total Miles Of Routes Available For OHV Recreation 500
- iii. Total Acres Of Open Riding Available For OHV Recreation 11613384
- iv. OHV Visitation (visitor days) 6664328
- v. Ratio of OHV Visitation/OHV Opportunity 0.57

1 OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary (2)

- c. Reference Document that support the responses to a. and b. on previous page
Figures derived from BLM Recreational Management Information System
See attached document California OHV Visitor Use and Acreage Statistics, FY 09
- d. Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) = OHV Ratio x OHV Months / 12 0.57
Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score

2. Quality of OHV Opportunity

Land Manager's OHV program 8

Check all that apply (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available for distribution at no cost (2 points)
- ☒ Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available on the Land Manager's website (2 points)
- ☐ Map indicates relative difficulty of each OHV trail (2 points)
- ☒ Map indicates appropriate OHV use type (ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, etc.) (2 points)
- ☒ At least fifty percent of the staging areas include support facilities (restrooms, picnic tables, trash cans, shade structures) (2 points)
- ☐ Majority of trail intersections are signed with information such as: trail names, directional signs, relative difficulty, mileage to next feature (2 points)

3. Variety of OHV Opportunity

- a. Skill levels (e.g., beginner, intermediate, advanced) indicated by publicly available maps or signage marking trails with relative difficulty 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ 3 or more skill levels (5 points)
- ☐ 2 skill levels (3 points)
- ☐ 1 skill level (1 point)
- ☐ Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity (No points)

b. Type of OHV Opportunity (ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, RUV, Sand Rail/Dune Buggy) 6

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ Opportunities for 3 or more vehicle types (6 points) ☐ Opportunities for 2 vehicle types (3 points)
☐ Opportunity for only 1 vehicle type (1 point) ☐ Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity (No points)

4. Agency Contribution

Is the cost of OHV Program for Land Manager's most recent complete fiscal year (not to include Indirect Costs) greater than \$0?. If NO, then No points. Go to item #5. (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If YES, enter cost of OHV Program for Land Manager's most recent complete fiscal year (not to include Indirect Costs): 671141

% Funded by OHV Trust Fund (do not include in-lieu funds): 1

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ No OHV Trust Funds were used (6 points)
☐ 10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (4 points)
☐ 11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (3 points)
☒ 26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (1 point)
☐ More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (No points)

Reference Document

Calculated Spreadsheet Available from OHV manager's records at BLM California State Office

5. Project Performance

For Applicant's OHV grant Projects which reached the end of the Project performance period within the last two years, the percentage of all deliverables accomplished 3

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ 100% of Deliverable accomplished (5 points)
☒ 75% to 99% of Deliverables accomplished (3 points)
☐ Less than 75% of Deliverables accomplished (No points)
☐ First time Applicants and past Applicants with no active Grant projects within the last two years (2 points)

6. Previous Year Performance

In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit. 3

FOR DIVISION USE ONLY (Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit (3 points)
☐ First time Applicants and past Applicants with no active Grant projects within the last two years (2 points)
☐ In the previous year the Applicant has not been responsive (No points)

7. Prevention of OHV trespass

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Fence (Page 1)

- a. Is site a completely fenced facility such that OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas is prevented? 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ No (answer items b and c) ☐ Yes (10 points, explain and then skip to item 8)

Explain 'Yes' response:

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Patrol (Page 2)

- b. The majority of OHV Opportunity areas are patrolled (Check the one most appropriate) 3

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ At least 5 days per week (5 points)
☒ At least once per week (3 points)
☐ At least once per month (1 point)
☐ Less than once per month (No points)

Explain patrol efforts (e.g., frequency of patrol, patrol personnel, percent of lands covered by patrols)

Averaged throughout the state. Some areas are patrolled irregularly, some very intensively. Can vary widely by season. Imperial Sand Dunes may have as much as 100 officers on holiday weekends, but LEs patrol the Dunes weekly during the summer.

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Measures (Page 3)

- c. Measures to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas 5

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Barriers and/or signing are used to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas (3 points)
☒ Education programs, maps and/or brochures provided to the public address OHV trespass, including respect for private property (2 points)

Explain measures utilized to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas

Both listed measures are applied at various facilities statewide. Additionally, Law Enforcement and other field staff set a high priority on prevention of OHV trespass on and adjacent to public lands

8. OHV Education

8 OHV Education - Page 1

- a. Education materials available onsite 10

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Free literature is provided to visitors describing safe and responsible OHV recreational practices (5 points)
☒ Bulletin boards, signs or kiosks, at the majority of staging areas, trailheads, or other areas where the public gathers provide information concerning safe and responsible OHV Recreation (5 points)

- b. Applicant or Land Manager provides formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc. to the public to educate them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices: 3

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ 50 or more per year (3 points) ☐ 20 to 49 times per year (2 points)
☐ 5 to 19 times per year (1 point) ☐ Less than 5 times per year (No points)

8. OHV Education - Page 2

- c. When Facility is open, staff are available at trailheads, visitor centers and/or entrance stations to provide information on safe and responsible OHV use 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Daily (5 points) | <input type="radio"/> On all weekends (4 points) |
| <input type="radio"/> On the majority of weekends (2 points) | <input type="radio"/> On major holidays (1 points) |
| <input type="radio"/> None of the above (No points) | |

- d. ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation approved training courses are provided to the public: 3

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="radio"/> At least 30 times per year (5 points) | <input checked="" type="radio"/> 18-29 times per year (3 points) |
| <input type="radio"/> 4-17 times per year (1 points) | <input type="radio"/> Less than 4 times per year (No points) |

Describe Land Manager's onsite education efforts relative to items a. - d.:

Accomplished at field level- averaged by field units

9. Website

- a. OHV outreach efforts are accomplished through the Land Manager's website 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> No (skip to question 10) | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes (provide URL address and answer item b) |
|--|--|

Provide URL address <http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/recreation/ohv.html>

- b. The Land Manager's website contains the following items 5

(Check all that apply) - Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 5 points. (Please select applicable values)

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Map to location | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hours of operation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Safety information |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Visitor facilities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contact information | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> News releases |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information on responsible riding | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Map of Facilities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fee schedule |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Seasonal restrictions | <input type="checkbox"/> Link to Division Website | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Law enforcement contact information |

10. OHV Outreach

Check all forms of OHV outreach the Applicant utilizes: 3

Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 3 points. (Please select applicable values)

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Billboards | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CDs and/or DVDs |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community meetings | <input type="checkbox"/> OHV dealers |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fairs | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> News releases |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) [Weekly NewsBlog EMail] | <input type="checkbox"/> Television |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Parades | <input type="checkbox"/> Radio |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Programs at schools | |

11. Natural and Cultural Resources

11. Natural and Cultural Resources - Page 1

- a. Is the Land Manager's OHV area a completely fenced track facility with little or no native vegetation?
0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> No (answer item b) | <input type="radio"/> Yes (5 points, explain and then skip to item 12) |
|---|--|

Explain 'Yes' response

11. Natural and Cultural Resources - Page 2

b. Resource Management Information System 5

Does the Land Manager maintain a management information system managed by qualified environmental staff that identifies and monitors the impacts of the OHV activity and contains at least the following:

- Ongoing survey/inventory of species
- Ongoing survey/inventory of archeological sites
- Biological monitoring that measures changes in populations
- Components that evaluate the effects of OHV recreation and related activity on the species;
- Recommendations for improvement in species management
- Strategies to respond to changing conditions that affect the survival or reproduction of species? (Please select one from list)

☐ No (No points)

☒ Yes (5 points)

Reference Document

Done at Field Office Level- All OHV Grant funded offices

12. Soil Management

12. Soil Management - Page 1

a. Land Manager has developed a systematic methodology for evaluating soil conditions of its OHV Opportunities? 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ No (No points)

☒ Yes (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response Ecologist is developing SOP Protocols, and/or individual offices have developed their own.

b. Land Manager has developed methods to address soil issues? 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ No (No points)

☒ Yes (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response See 12 a.

12. Soil Management - Page 2

c. Land Manager performs soil monitoring 2

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ Monthly (3 points)

☒ After major rain events (2 points)

☐ Annually (No points)

13. Sound Level Testing

The Applicant or Land Manager conducts, or causes to be conducted, sound level testing 2

(Check only one if applicable) (Please select one from list)

☐ On most (50% or more) holidays and weekends (4 points)

☒ At least 25% but less than 50% of holidays and weekends (2 points)

☐ Less than 25% of holidays and weekends (No points)

Describe the sound testing program

Performed at field level- varies substantially by office- 25% is an estimate that covers the span